

Transformation Lie groups and operator representations

Costas J. Papachristou¹

Hellenic Naval Academy

A note on continuous groups of transformations on linear spaces and manifolds and the operator representations of transformation Lie groups and algebras.

1. Lie groups and Lie algebras: An overview

We review some basic definitions concerning Lie groups and Lie algebras.

A *group* is a set $G = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$ equipped with an internal “multiplication” operation with the following properties:

1. Closure: $ab \in G, \forall a, b \in G$.
2. Associativity: $a(bc) = (ab)c$.
3. Identity element: $\exists e \in G: ae = ea, \forall a \in G$.
4. Inverse element: $\forall a \in G, \exists a^{-1} \in G: aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = e$.

A group is *abelian* (or commutative) if $ab = ba, \forall a, b \in G$.

A *subgroup* of G is a subset $H \subseteq G$ that is itself a group under the group operation of G . Obviously, H must contain the identity element e of G as well as the inverse of any element of H .

A map $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ from a group G to a group G' is called a *homomorphism* if it preserves group multiplication. That is, for any $a, b \in G$, the images $\varphi(a) \in G'$ and $\varphi(b) \in G'$ satisfy the relation

$$\varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \varphi(ab).$$

If the homomorphism φ is 1-1, it is called an *isomorphism*. An isomorphic relation of G with a group of matrices or operators is called a matrix or operator *representation* of G , accordingly.

A real *Lie algebra* \mathcal{L} of dimension n is an n -dimensional real vector space equipped with an internal *Lie bracket* operation $[,]$ that satisfies the following properties:

1. Closure: $[a, b] \in \mathcal{L}, \forall a, b \in \mathcal{L}$.
2. Linearity: $[\kappa a + \lambda b, c] = \kappa[a, c] + \lambda[b, c] \quad (\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{R})$.
3. Antisymmetry: $[a, b] = -[b, a]$. Corollary: $[a, a] = 0$.
4. Jacobi identity: $[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0$.

A Lie algebra is *abelian* (or commutative) if $[a, b] = 0, \forall a, b \in \mathcal{L}$.

¹ cjpapachristou@gmail.com

A *subalgebra* S of \mathcal{L} is a subspace of \mathcal{L} that itself is a Lie algebra. The algebra S is an *invariant subalgebra* or *ideal* of \mathcal{L} if $[a, b] \in S$, $\forall a \in S, b \in \mathcal{L}$. A Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is said to be *simple* if it contains no ideals other than itself; \mathcal{L} is *semisimple* if it contains no *abelian* ideals.

Examples of Lie algebras:

1. The algebra of $(m \times m)$ matrices, with $[A, B] = AB - BA$ (*commutator*). Diagonal matrices constitute an abelian subalgebra of this algebra.

2. The algebra of all vectors in 3-dimensional space, with $[\vec{V}, \vec{W}] = \vec{V} \times \vec{W}$ (vector product). Vectors parallel to a given axis form an abelian subalgebra of this algebra.

A map $\psi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ from a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} to a Lie algebra \mathcal{L}' is a *homomorphism* if it satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\kappa a + \lambda b) &= \kappa \psi(a) + \lambda \psi(b) \quad (\kappa, \lambda \in R); \\ \psi([a, b]) &= [\psi(a), \psi(b)].\end{aligned}$$

If the map ψ is 1-1, it is called an *isomorphism*. Isomorphic Lie algebras \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' have equal dimensions [1]: $\dim \mathcal{L} = \dim \mathcal{L}'$.

Let $\{\tau_i / i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a basis of an n -dimensional Lie algebra \mathcal{L} . Since the Lie bracket of any two basis elements τ_i and τ_j is an element of \mathcal{L} , it must be a linear combination of the $\{\tau_k\}$. That is,

$$[\tau_i, \tau_j] = C_{ij}^k \tau_k \quad (1)$$

(sum on k from 1 to n). By the antisymmetry of the Lie bracket, $C_{ij}^k = -C_{ji}^k$. The real constants C_{ij}^k are called *structure constants* of the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} .

Proposition 1: Let $\psi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ be a Lie algebra isomorphism. If $\{\tau_k\}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$) is a basis of \mathcal{L} , then $\{\psi(\tau_k)\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{L}' .

Proof: Being a basis of \mathcal{L} , the $\{\tau_k\}$ are linearly independent; hence no linear combination of them can be zero (unless, of course, all coefficients are trivially zero). Now, by the properties of ψ , a linear combination of the $\{\tau_k\}$ is mapped onto a linear combination of the $\{\psi(\tau_k)\}$ with the same coefficients. This means that the latter combination cannot vanish, since it can only be zero if the former one is zero as well; that is, if all coefficients in the combination are zero. We conclude that the $\{\psi(\tau_k)\}$ are linearly independent and may serve as a basis for \mathcal{L}' .

Proposition 2: Isomorphic Lie algebras share common structure constants.

Proof: Let $\psi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ be a Lie algebra isomorphism and let τ_i, τ_j be any two basis elements of \mathcal{L} . Then, $\psi(\tau_i)$ and $\psi(\tau_j)$ are basis elements of \mathcal{L}' . By (1) and by the properties of ψ ,

$$\psi([\tau_i, \tau_j]) = \psi(C_{ij}^k \tau_k) \Rightarrow [\psi(\tau_i), \psi(\tau_j)] = C_{ij}^k \psi(\tau_k); \text{ q.e.d.}$$

Roughly speaking, a *Lie group* is a group G whose elements depend on a number of parameters that can be varied in a continuous way. The *dimension* n of G is the number of real parameters parametrizing the elements of G . We assume that $\dim G = n$ and we let $\{\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^n\}$ be the set of n parameters of G . We arrange the parameterization of G so that the identity element of G corresponds to $\lambda^k = 0$ for all $k=1, 2, \dots, n$.

An important class of Lie groups consists of groups of $(m \times m)$ matrices parametrized by n parameters λ^k ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$). Since an $(m \times m)$ matrix produces a *linear transformation* on an m -dimensional Euclidean space, matrix groups are called *linear groups*.

Lie groups are closely related to Lie algebras. Let G be an n -dimensional Lie group of $(m \times m)$ matrices $A(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^n) \equiv A(\lambda)$ (where by λ we collectively denote the set of the n parameters λ^k). We define the n $(m \times m)$ matrices τ_k by

$$\tau_k = \frac{\partial A(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^k} \Big|_{\lambda^1 = \lambda^2 = \dots = \lambda^n = 0} \quad (2)$$

or, in terms of matrix elements,

$$(\tau_k)_{pq} = \frac{\partial A_{pq}}{\partial \lambda^k} \Big|_{\lambda^1 = \lambda^2 = \dots = \lambda^n = 0}$$

($k=1, 2, \dots, n$; $p, q=1, 2, \dots, m$). The n matrices τ_k are called *infinitesimal operators* (or generators) of the Lie group G and form the basis of an n -dimensional real Lie algebra \mathcal{L} [1]. Thus $[\tau_i, \tau_j] = C_{ij}^k \tau_k$, where the C_{ij}^k are real constants. A general element a of \mathcal{L} is written as a linear combination of the τ_k : $a = \xi^k \tau_k$ (sum on k), for real coefficients ξ^k . [Note carefully that the matrix elements $(\tau_k)_{pq}$ *themselves* are *not* required to be real numbers!]

Now, let $a = \lambda^k \tau_k$ be the general element of \mathcal{L} . The general element $A(\lambda)$ of the Lie group G parametrized by the λ^k can then be written as [1,2]

$$A(\lambda) = e^a = \exp(\lambda^k \tau_k) \quad (3)$$

where e^a is the matrix exponential function

$$e^a \equiv \exp a = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^l}{l!} = 1 + a + \frac{a^2}{2} + \dots$$

For infinitesimal values of the parameters λ^k we may use the approximate expression

$$e^a \simeq 1 + a$$

so that

$$A(\lambda) \simeq 1 + \lambda^k \tau_k.$$

The simplest example of a Lie group is a one-parameter continuous group, such as the group $SO(2)$ of rotations on a plane. A rotation of a vector by an angle λ is represented by the (2×2) orthogonal matrix

$$A(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\ \sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda \in R).$$

(Notice that $A^t A = 1$ and $\det A = 1$.) Then

$$\frac{dA}{d\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \lambda & -\cos \lambda \\ \cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

and, by Eq. (2), the single basis element τ of the associated Lie algebra is

$$\tau = \left. \frac{dA}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

According to (3), $A(\lambda) = e^{\lambda\tau}$ and, for infinitesimal λ , $A(\lambda) \simeq 1 + \lambda\tau$. Indeed, by setting $\sin \lambda = \lambda$ and $\cos \lambda = 1$, we have:

$$A(\lambda) \simeq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1 + \lambda\tau.$$

Another single-parameter Lie group is the unitary group $U(1)$ with elements $\{e^{i\lambda}\}$ ($\lambda \in R$), which may be regarded as (1×1) matrices. Consider the map $\varphi: U(1) \rightarrow SO(2)$ defined by

$$\varphi(e^{i\lambda}) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\ \sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

This map is a homomorphism, since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(e^{i\lambda} \cdot e^{i\lambda'}) &= \varphi(e^{i(\lambda+\lambda')}) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\lambda+\lambda') & -\sin(\lambda+\lambda') \\ \sin(\lambda+\lambda') & \cos(\lambda+\lambda') \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \lambda & -\sin \lambda \\ \sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \lambda' & -\sin \lambda' \\ \sin \lambda' & \cos \lambda' \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \varphi(e^{i\lambda}) \cdot \varphi(e^{i\lambda'}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it can be shown [1] that the map φ is 1-1. Therefore, φ is a Lie-group isomorphism.

We finally remark that isomorphic Lie groups have isomorphic Lie algebras [1]. More generally, under certain restrictions, homomorphic Lie groups may have isomorphic Lie algebras, as the case is with the groups $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$.

2. Group operators for a linear Lie group

Let (x^1, \dots, x^m) be a system of coordinates on R^m . Consider an n -dimensional Lie group G represented by $(m \times m)$ matrices g the elements of which depend on n real parameters (a^1, \dots, a^n) . We call \vec{x} the column vector with components (x^1, \dots, x^m) . The action of G on this vector is expressed as

$$\vec{x} \rightarrow g \vec{x}, \quad g \in G \quad (4)$$

In effect, Eq. (4) describes a linear coordinate transformation² on R^m .

Let $\{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$ be a basis of the Lie algebra of G , where the $\{L_\gamma\}$ are $(m \times m)$ matrices.³ Then there exist real structure constants $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ such that the following commutation relations are satisfied:

$$[L_\alpha, L_\beta] = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma L_\gamma \quad (\text{sum on } \gamma) \quad (5)$$

An element $g \in G$ can then be put in the form $g = \exp(a^\lambda L_\lambda)$ [1,2] so that (4) is written: $\vec{x} \rightarrow \exp(a^\lambda L_\lambda) \vec{x}$. For infinitesimal values δa^λ of the group parameters,

$$\exp(\delta a^\lambda L_\lambda) \simeq 1 + \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda$$

so that $\vec{x} \rightarrow (1 + \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda) \vec{x} \equiv \vec{x} + \delta \vec{x}$, where

$$\delta \vec{x} = \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda \vec{x} \Leftrightarrow \delta x^i = \delta a^\lambda (L_\lambda)^i_k x^k \quad (6)$$

The expression $g = \exp(a^\lambda L_\lambda)$ is a representation of G in terms of linear coordinate transformations (4) on R^m . We now seek a different realization of G in terms of transformations of functions $F(\vec{x})$, $\vec{x} \in R^m$. We define the operators

$$T(g): F \rightarrow T(g)F, \quad g \in G$$

by

$$[T(g)F](\vec{x}) = F(g^{-1}\vec{x}) \quad (7)$$

Proposition 1: The operators $T(g)$ constitute an operator representation of G .

Proof: Let $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then, for an arbitrary function F on R^m ,

$$\begin{aligned} [T(g_1 g_2)F](\vec{x}) &= F(g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} \vec{x}) = [T(g_2)F](g_1^{-1} \vec{x}) = \{T(g_1)[T(g_2)F]\}(\vec{x}) \\ &\equiv \{[T(g_1)T(g_2)]F\}(\vec{x}) \Rightarrow \\ &T(g_1 g_2) = T(g_1)T(g_2), \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

² For definiteness we regard this as an *active* transformation from a point $x \in R^m$ with coordinates x^k to a point x' with coordinates $x'^k = (gx)^k$.

³ Greek indices run from 1 to n while Latin indices run from 1 to m . The summation convention will be used throughout.

For $g = \exp(a^\lambda L_\lambda) \simeq 1 + \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda$ we have that $g^{-1} \simeq 1 - \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda$, and so (7) yields, by using Eq. (A.1) in the Appendix:

$$\begin{aligned} [T(g)F](\vec{x}) &\simeq F(\vec{x} - \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda \vec{x}) \simeq F(\vec{x}) - \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F(\vec{x}) \\ &= (1 - \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) F(\vec{x}) \Rightarrow \\ T(g) &\simeq 1 - \delta a^\lambda L_\lambda \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \equiv 1 + \delta a^\lambda P_\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $P_\lambda = -L_\lambda \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla} = -(L_\lambda \vec{x})^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Rightarrow$

$$P_\lambda = -(L_\lambda)^i_k x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \equiv -(L_\lambda)^i_k x^k \partial_i \quad (9)$$

where we have introduced the notation $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial x^i$. For finite values of the group parameters a^λ , Eq. (8) generalizes to $T(g) = \exp(a^\lambda P_\lambda)$ [3,4].

Proposition 2: The operators $\{P_\lambda\}$ are the basis of a Lie algebra isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the matrices $\{L_\gamma\}$. Thus, if the commutation relations (5) are valid, then also

$$[P_\alpha, P_\beta] = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma P_\gamma \quad (10)$$

Proof: Consider the linear mapping

$$\Psi: L \rightarrow P = \Psi(L) = -L^i_k x^k \partial_i \quad (11)$$

where the matrix L is an element of the Lie algebra of G . Let L_1, L_2 be two such elements. Then,

$$P_1 = \Psi(L_1) = -(L_1)^i_k x^k \partial_i, \quad P_2 = \Psi(L_2) = -(L_2)^i_k x^k \partial_i.$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi([L_1, L_2]) &= \Psi(L_1 L_2 - L_2 L_1) = \Psi(L_1 L_2) - \Psi(L_2 L_1) \quad (\text{since } \Psi \text{ is linear}) \\ &= -(L_1 L_2)^i_k x^k \partial_i + (L_2 L_1)^i_k x^k \partial_i \\ &= -(L_1)^i_j (L_2)^j_k x^k \partial_i + (L_2)^i_j (L_1)^j_k x^k \partial_i. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [\Psi(L_1), \Psi(L_2)] &= [P_1, P_2] = P_1 P_2 - P_2 P_1 \\ &= (L_1)^i_j x^j \partial_i [(L_2)^k_l x^l \partial_k] - (L_2)^k_l x^l \partial_k [(L_1)^i_j x^j \partial_i]. \end{aligned}$$

After a lengthy but straightforward calculation, and by canceling out second-order derivatives, we find:

$$[\Psi(L_1), \Psi(L_2)] = -(L_1)^i_j (L_2)^j_k x^k \partial_i + (L_2)^i_j (L_1)^j_k x^k \partial_i.$$

We thus conclude that

$$\Psi([L_1, L_2]) = [\Psi(L_1), \Psi(L_2)]$$

which is what we needed to prove. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} [P_\alpha, P_\beta] &= [\Psi(L_\alpha), \Psi(L_\beta)] = \Psi([L_\alpha, L_\beta]) = \Psi(C'_{\alpha\beta} L_\gamma) \\ &= C'_{\alpha\beta} \Psi(L_\gamma) = C'_{\alpha\beta} P_\gamma \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (10).

Example: Let $G=SO(3)$, the group of (3×3) real orthogonal matrices with unit determinant. It is a 3-parameter Lie group [1,5] and thus the associated Lie algebra $so(3)$ is 3-dimensional. The basis of $so(3)$ consists of the (3×3) antisymmetric matrices

$$L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with commutation relations

$$[L_i, L_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} L_k \quad (\text{sum on } k)$$

where ε_{ijk} is antisymmetric in all pairs of indices, with $\varepsilon_{123} = \varepsilon_{231} = \varepsilon_{312} = 1$. [We use Latin instead of Greek indices for the basis elements of $so(3)$ since the number of these elements matches the dimensions of R^3 , on which space both the $SO(3)$ and $so(3)$ matrices act.] We notice that

$$(L_i)^j_k = -\varepsilon_{ijk}.$$

The operator representation of the basis of $so(3)$ is, according to (9),

$$P_i = -(L_i)^j_k x^k \partial_j = \varepsilon_{ijk} x^k \partial_j$$

or, analytically,

$$P_1 = x^3 \partial_2 - x^2 \partial_3, \quad P_2 = x^1 \partial_3 - x^3 \partial_1, \quad P_3 = x^2 \partial_1 - x^1 \partial_2.$$

The reader may check that $[P_i, P_j] = \varepsilon_{ijk} P_k$.

3. Group operators for general coordinate transformations

The previous results are valid for *linear* (matrix) groups, in which case $g\vec{x}$ represents the action of an $(m \times m)$ matrix on a vector of R^m . More generally, consider an m -dimensional manifold M with coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^m) and let G be an n -dimensional local Lie group of coordinate transformations on M (see [6] for rigorous definitions and examples). The elements g of G depend on n real parameters (a^1, \dots, a^n) . We call $x \equiv (x^1, \dots, x^m)$ a point on M and we denote by gx a (possibly nonlinear) coordinate transformation on this manifold. To the first order in the group parameters a^λ , i.e., for infinitesimal δa^λ , such a transformation is approximately linear in the δa^λ . We write:

$$(gx)^i \simeq x^i + \delta x^i \quad \text{where} \quad \delta x^i = \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i(x^k) \quad (12)$$

$(i = 1, \dots, m; \lambda = 1, \dots, n)$.

Let $F(x)$ be an arbitrary function on M . As before, we define the operators

$$T(g): F \rightarrow T(g)F, \quad g \in G$$

by

$$[T(g)F](x) = F(g^{-1}x) \quad (13)$$

Again, the $T(g)$ constitute an operator representation of G :

$$T(g_1 g_2) = T(g_1) T(g_2).$$

[Careful: $g_1 g_2$ is no longer a matrix product but a succession of coordinate transformations! It is still true, however, that $(g_1 g_2)^{-1} = g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1}$.]

Given that, by (12),

$$(gx)^i \simeq x^i + \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i(x^k)$$

we have that

$$(g^{-1}x)^i \simeq x^i - \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i(x^k).$$

Let us justify this statement:

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{-1}gx)^i &\simeq (gx)^i - \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i((gx)^k) \\ &\simeq x^i + \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i(x^k) - \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i(x^k + \delta a^\rho U_\rho^k) \end{aligned}$$

By using Eq. (A.1) in the Appendix we have that, to the first order in the δa^λ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i(x^k + \delta a^\rho U_\rho^k) &\simeq \delta a^\lambda \left[U_\lambda^i(x^k) + \delta a^\rho U_\rho^j \partial_j U_\lambda^i(x^k) \right] \\ &\simeq \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i(x^k) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, finally, $(g^{-1}gx)^i = x^i \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}gx \equiv \text{identity transformation}$.

By using (A.1) once more, the infinitesimal version of (13) is written:

$$\begin{aligned} [T(g)F](x) &\simeq F\left(x^i - \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i\right) \simeq F(x) - \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i \partial_i F(x) \\ &= \left(1 - \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i \partial_i\right) F(x) \Rightarrow \\ T(g) &\simeq 1 - \delta a^\lambda U_\lambda^i \partial_i \equiv 1 + \delta a^\lambda P_\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$P_\lambda = -U_\lambda^i(x^k) \partial_i \quad (15)$$

It can be proven [3] that the operators P_λ ($\lambda = 1, \dots, n$) form the basis of an n -dimensional Lie algebra:

$$[P_\alpha, P_\beta] = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma P_\gamma \quad (16)$$

Let us see what this implies: Let

$$P_\alpha = -U_\alpha^i(x^k) \partial_i, \quad P_\beta = -U_\beta^j(x^k) \partial_j.$$

Then,

$$[P_\alpha, P_\beta] = \left(U_\alpha^i \partial_i U_\beta^j - U_\beta^j \partial_j U_\alpha^i \right) \partial_j.$$

A set of real constants $C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ must then exist such that

$$U_\alpha^i \partial_i U_\beta^j - U_\beta^j \partial_j U_\alpha^i = -C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma U_\gamma^j \quad (17)$$

Then,

$$[P_\alpha, P_\beta] = -C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma U_\gamma^j \partial_j = C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma P_\gamma.$$

Relations (17) are conditions for closure, under the Lie bracket, of the set of operators spanned by the basis $\{P_\lambda\}$; that is, conditions in order that this set constitute a Lie algebra.

Appendix: Multidimensional Taylor expansion

The Taylor series expansion of a function $f(x)$ about a point x can be written as

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} h^n \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n f(x) = f(x) + h \frac{df(x)}{dx} + \dots$$

We write:

$$f(x+h) = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(h \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n \right] f(x) = \exp \left(h \frac{d}{dx} \right) f(x) .$$

For infinitesimal $h \equiv \delta x$ we may use the approximate expression

$$f(x+\delta x) \simeq f(x) + \delta x \frac{df(x)}{dx} .$$

More generally, consider a function $\Phi(x^1, x^2, \dots) \equiv \Phi(\vec{r})$. Let $\vec{a} \equiv (a^1, a^2, \dots)$ be a constant vector. Then,

$$\Phi(\vec{r} + \vec{a}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla})^n \Phi(\vec{r}) = \Phi(\vec{r}) + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi(\vec{r}) + \dots$$

where $\vec{\nabla} \Phi \equiv (\partial \Phi / \partial x^1, \partial \Phi / \partial x^2, \dots)$. We write:

$$\Phi(\vec{r} + \vec{a}) = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla})^n \right] \Phi(\vec{r}) = \exp(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \Phi(\vec{r}) .$$

For infinitesimal $\vec{a} \equiv \delta \vec{r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\vec{r} + \delta \vec{r}) &\simeq \Phi(\vec{r}) + \delta \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi(\vec{r}) \equiv \Phi(\vec{r}) + \delta \Phi \quad \text{where} \\ \delta \Phi &= \delta \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi(\vec{r}) = \delta x^k \frac{\partial \Phi(\vec{r})}{\partial x^k} \quad (\text{sum on } k) \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Indeed, notice that, infinitesimally,

$$\delta \Phi \simeq d\Phi = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^k} dx^k \quad \text{where } dx^k \equiv \delta x^k .$$

References

1. J. F. Cornwell, *Group Theory in Physics*, Vol. I & II (Academic Press, 1984).
2. D. H. Sattinger, O. L. Weaver, *Lie Groups and Algebras with Applications to Physics, Geometry, and Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, 1986).
3. R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of their Applications* (Wiley, 1974).
4. M. Postnikov, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras* (Mir Publishers, 1986).
5. W.-K. Tung, *Group Theory in Physics* (World Scientific, 1985).
6. P. J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, 2nd Edition (Springer, 1993).